



PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS REGULATORY BOARD

1st Floor, World trade Centre, Babar Lane, Barakhamba Road, , New Delhi-110001

Tel No: 011- 23457700/23457744/23457751

Ref.: Infra/PL/Bid/Round-1&2/2010/01

Date: 09.07.2010

To
All Prospective Bidders

Sub: Addendums/Clarifications related to bids invited by PNGRB for Mehsana-Bhatinda, Bhatinda-Jammu-Srinagar and Mallavaram-Bhopal-Bhilwara-Vijaipur Natural Gas Pipelines.

Dear Sir,

Various issues pertaining to the bids invited for development of following natural gas pipelines have been clarified by PNGRB to all prospective bidders including web-hosting vide communication dated:


- (i) Mehsana to Bhatinda pipeline through Bid No. BID/NGPL/01/2009/1/MBPL
- (ii) Bhatinda to Jammu & Srinagar pipeline through Bid No. BID/NGPL/01/2009/2/BJSPL
- (iii) Mallavaram to Bhilwara & Vijaipur pipeline.

In response to the queries raised by one of the prospective bidders, following is being clarified:

S/N	Query	Clarification/Addendum
1	<p>Schedule-B of Regulation requires that <i>all pages of the application-cum-bid and the attachments and statements need to be serially numbered and initialled by the person or official authorized by the entity through a notarized Power of Attorney. However, clause 5.10 and clause 16.0 of bid document requires that "the bid must be signed and sealed by the bidder with his usually signature on each page"</i></p> <p>Query-> <i>As the financial bid form is usually signed by the authorized signatory and the entire bid document will be only initiated and not signed by the authorized signatory; PNGRB may clarify on the above.</i></p>	<p>The bid must be signed and sealed by the bidder with his usually signature on each page and in case initial signatures are used in the bid document, the same has also to be authorized by the entity through a notarized Power of Attorney.</p>
2	<p>Clause 12.1 & Annexure-3 of Bid document: "...equivalent to the performance bond as specified in regulation 9 of the Petroleum and Natural Gas Regulatory Board Regulations 2008.."</p> <p>Query-> <i>Performance Bond is specified in Regulation 8 and not Regulation 9 of the Petroleum & Natural Gas Regulatory Board Regulations 2008. Kindly revise.</i></p>	<p>Clause 12.1 and Annexure-3 of application-cum-bid document may now be read as:</p> <p>"...equivalent to the performance bond as specified in regulation 8 of the Petroleum and Natural Gas Regulatory Board Regulations 2008."</p>

3	For Mallavaram-Bhilwara Natural Gas Pipeline bid, there is typographical discrepancy in the bid numbers. PNRB to clarify about the correct version.	In the entire bid document for Mallavaram-Bhopal-Bhilwara-Vijaipur Natural Gas Pipeline, the Bid number and application-cum-bid document may now be read as: BID/NGPL/02/2009/1/MBBVPL
4	Is it acceptable if a bidder quotes negative tariff?	Negative tariff shall not be accepted and would lead to the rejection of the bid.
5	Is it acceptable if a bidder quotes zero tariffs?	Zero tariffs shall not be accepted and would lead to the rejection of the bid.

The above clarification shall constitute a part of respective Application-Cum-Bid Document and shall be duly signed and submitted along with bid document by the authorized signatory of the bidder.


Secretary, PNRB



PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS REGULATORY BOARD

1st Floor, World Trade Centre, Babar Lane, Barakhamba Road, New Delhi-110001

Tel No: 011- 23457700/23457744/23457751

Ref.: Infra/PL/Bid/Round-1&2/2010/01

Date: 09.06.2010

To
All Prospective Bidders

Sub: Clarification related to Bid No.: BID/NGPL/01/2009/1/MBPL,
BID/NGPL/01/2009/2/BJSP and BID/ NGPL/ BID/ 02/ 2009/01/MBPL

Dear Sir,


Various issues pertaining to the bids invited for development of following natural gas pipelines have been clarified by PNGRB to all prospective bidders including web-hosting vide communication dated:

- (i) Mehsana to Bhatinda through Bid No. BID/NGPL/BID/01/2009/1/MBPL
(Clarifications dated 25.11.09, 08.02.10, 01.04.10, 15.04.10, 10.05.10 & 13.05.10)
- (ii) Bhatinda to Jammu & Srinagar through Bid No. BID/NGPL/BID/01/2009/2/BJSP
(Clarifications dated 25.11.09, 08.02.10, 01.04.10, 15.04.10, 10.05.10, 13.05.10 & 08.06.10)
- (iii) Mallavaram to Bhilwara & Vijaipur through Bid No. BID/NGPL/BID/02/2009/ 01/ MBPL
(Clarifications dated 08.02.10, 04.03.10, 01.04.10, 15.04.10, 10.05.10 & 13.05.10)

In response to a query raised by one prospective bidder, this is to clarify that:

"In Sl. No. 1 of Annexure-5 of the application-cum-bid document, "Director" shall mean full time functional director only and also include the Chairman and Managing Director. Also, the word "related" shall mean any person related by blood, marriage or adoption".

The above clarification shall constitute a part of respective Application-Cum-Bid Document and shall be duly signed and submitted along with bid document by the authorized signatory of the bidder.


09/06/2010
(Rajnath Ram)
For Secretary, PNGRB



PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS REGULATORY BOARD

1st Floor, World trade Centre, Babar Lane, Barakhamba Road, , New Delhi-110001

Tel No: 011- 23457700/23457744/23457751

Ref.: Infra/PL/Bid/Round-1&2/2010/01

Date: 13.05.2010

To
All Prospective Bidders

Sub: 2nd Pre-Bid Meeting & Clarifications related to Bid No.: BID/NGPL/01/2009/1/MBPL, BID/NGPL/01/2009/2/BJSP and BID/ NGPL/ BID/ 02/ 2009/01/MBPL

Dear Sir,

Various issues pertaining to the bids invited for development of following natural gas pipelines have been clarified by PNGRB to all prospective bidders including web-hosting vide communication dated:

- (i) Mehsana to Bhatinda through Bid No. BID/NGPL/BID/01/2009/1/MBPL
(Clarifications dated 25.11.09, 08.02.10, 01.04.10 & 15.04.10)
- (ii) Bhatinda to Jammu & Srinagar through Bid No. BID/NGPL/BID/01/2009/2/BJSP
(Clarifications dated 25.11.09, 08.02.10, 01.04.10 & 15.04.10)
- (iii) Mallavaram to Bhilwara & Vijaipur through Bid No. BID/NGPL/BID/02/2009/ 01/ MBPL
(Clarifications dated 08.02.10, 04.03.10, 01.04.10 & 15.04.10)

Further clarifications to the issues addressed in the 2nd pre-bid meeting held in the office of PNGRB New Delhi on 27.04.2010 in above subject are as below:

S/N	Issue	Clarification
1	In the bids invited for Mehsana-Bhatinda & Bhatinda-Jammu-Srinagar pipelines, spur-lines are mentioned as a part of the bid in addition to the main trunk pipeline, while, in case of Mallavaram-Bhopal-Bhilwara-Vijaipur pipeline, no spur-lines are mentioned. The obligation of the authorized entity may be hence clarified. → Issue raised during the discussion.	<i>(a) The spur-lines mentioned in the application-cum-bid document have to necessarily be covered in the bid. (b) Wherever the spur-lines are not indicated in the bid document, the bidders are to assume such spur-lines in future</i>
2	Whether capacity building may be done in phased manner and with different capacity in different sections?	<i>a) As per Regulation 7(1), natural gas volume proposed to be transported is a biddable item during the economic life of the pipeline. The bidder must</i>

	<p>→ Query raised by GSPL</p>	<p><i>therefore indicate the volume to be transported on year to year basis and the capacity of the pipeline shall precede this. However, connection from originating point and termination point must be completed within the time stipulated in Regulation 8(3).</i></p> <p><i>b) Volume quoted in the bid for any year must keep at least 25% of the capacity for the common carrier purpose.</i></p>
3	<p>It may be noted that:</p> <p>a) As per sub-regulation (3) of Regulation 8, the entity shall be allowed a maximum period of 36 months from the date of grant of authorization for commissioning of the natural gas pipeline project;</p> <p>b) Further, economic life of the pipeline is 25 years from the date of grant of authorization in Schedule-D;</p> <p>c) Also, the tariff and volume bids are applicable for each year of the economic life of the project as per Regulation 7;</p> <p>Clarification is required on the applicability of the start date of tariff and volume.</p> <p>→ Issue raised during the discussion.</p>	<p><i>a) Year 1 tariff & volume bids as quoted shall be applicable from the 1st year of operation of the pipeline.</i></p> <p><i>b) The quoted tariff and volume after the economic life of the pipeline to be extended by PNGRB for a period equivalent to the time period between the date of grant of authorization and the starting date of operation of the pipeline, as per the schedule in the bid and extended by the Board, wherever applicable.</i></p>
4	<p>Allowable deviation in the route of the pipeline and originating/termination point of the pipeline to be clarified.</p> <p>→ Query raised by RNRL & GSPL</p>	<p><i>The bidders are allowed to deviate from the route of the main trunk pipeline including originating/termination point of the pipeline up to +/- 5% w.r.t. the indicative route mentioned in the bid document for the purpose of preparation of Feasibility Report. However, the provision of sub-regulation (3) of Regulation 13 shall be applicable.</i></p>
5	<p>Issues raised by GSPL pertaining to modalities of submission of bids in case of a consortium/JV:</p> <p>A. In a consortium, if all the members of consortium meet the technical qualification criteria individually, is it</p>	<p><i>a) Each of the qualifying criteria must be singularly met by any of the JV partner except financial. Only supporting document of concerned entity on the basis of which qualification is sought needs to be submitted. Liability to comply with the obligations and terms</i></p>

<p>necessary to submit the documents in support of such technical qualification for each of the consortium members? Or is it sufficient to submit documents in support of just one member's technical qualification criteria?</p> <p>B. In case all members in the consortium are required to submit the technical and financial qualification criteria supporting documents, then who should sign the supporting documents pertaining to each consortium member-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Lead Partner (ii) Authorized Signatory (as per MoU/JV) (iii) All JV members (iv) only the individual members <p>C. If bid is being submitted by a consortium, bid documents and clarifications issued by PNGRB should be signed by whom-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Lead Partner (ii) Authorized Signatory (as per MoU/JV) (iii) All JV members (iv) only the individual members <p>D. If Net worth certificate is being furnished by all the consortium members, then the combined net worth certificate should be signed by whom-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Lead Partner (ii) Authorized Signatory (as per MoU/JV) (iii) only the individual members <p>E. If bid is being submitted by a</p>	<p><i>and conditions of the bid and authorization for the successful bidder shall be assumed to be that of the lead partner unless specifically approved by the Board otherwise.</i></p> <p><i>b) Further, none of the parties will have negative net worth.</i></p>
--	--

	<p>consortium, whether letter of comfort as mentioned in Annex-2 of the bidding document is required? If yes, then whether from all the consortium members?</p>	
6	<p>After evaluating technical & commercial aspects, Kathua-Srinagar pipeline section emerges out as extremely difficult terrain prone to landslides, unstable slopes etc. which may not get synchronized with the commissioning of the other sections. Also, securing RoW through Jawahar Tunnel is uncertain. Security is major concern for any pipeline transporter in that section.</p> <p>In view of the above, PNGRB requested to review the bid and consider the option of inviting separate bid for Kathua-Srinagar section.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">→ Issue raised by M/s GAIL (India) Limited</p>	<p><i>Considering the genuine concerns of the prospective serious bidders, it was clarified that:</i></p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;"><i>a) Bhatinda-Kathua-Jammu may be now considered as trunk pipeline with Kathua to Srinagar as spur-line.</i></p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;"><i>b) Development plan of Kathua-Srinagar spur-line shall be submitted by the bidder in the bid. However, during the project execution if the work is delayed on account of genuine reasons beyond the control of the bidder, the PNGRB may consider suitable time-extension.</i></p> <p><i>The above clarification supersedes all other earlier clarifications issued in this regard.</i></p>

7. Besides the above issues, following are the amendments in the Regulation approved by the Board and are under notification:

- (i) *Period for Financial Closure specified in **sub-regulation (4) of regulation 10**, has been now increased from **120 days to 180 days**.*
- (ii) *In **sub-regulation (3) of regulation 10**, the authorized entity shall submit **Heads of Agreement or Memorandum of Understanding or both** specified under sub-regulation (1) of regulation 10 to the Board within a period of **one hundred and eighty days** of the date of issue of the authorisation.*
- (iii) *Amendment in regulation 14 regarding service obligations of authorized entity as given below:*

“for clauses (a),(b) and (c) of sub-regulation (1) of regulation 14, the following shall be substituted, namely:-

(a) for the first default :

- (i) ten per cent of the amount of the performance bond for the default up to ten per cent of annual target of transporting natural gas;*

(ii) twenty per cent of the amount of the performance bond for the default up to fifty per cent above ten per cent. of annual target of transporting natural gas;

(iii) twenty five per cent of the amount of the performance bond for the default above fifty per cent. of annual target of transporting natural gas;

(b) for the second default :

(i) twenty per cent of the amount of the performance bond for the default up to ten per cent of annual target of transporting natural gas;

(ii) forty per cent of the amount of the performance bond for the default up to fifty per cent above ten per cent of annual target of transporting natural gas;

(iii) fifty per cent of the amount of the performance bond for the default above fifty per cent of annual target of transporting natural gas;

(c) for the third default :

one hundred per cent of the amount of the performance bond:".

8. Following two important issues were also discussed:

- A. The Board clarified that the volume quoted by the bidder in the bid must conform to the Regulation determining the capacity of natural gas pipeline system. If any bid is submitted prior to the notification of these Regulations, the bidder must go by the final draft Regulations web-hosted by PNGRB on 10.05.2010. It was deliberated that the corresponding variance in the volume projections on year to year basis by the bidder must be in the range of +/-20% w.r.t. the Feasibility Report.
- B. As a general guideline to the bidders, list of obligations of transporter have been illustrated at Annex-A.

The above clarifications shall constitute a part of respective Application-Cum-Bid Document and shall be duly signed and submitted along with bid document by the authorized signatory of the bidder.

(Ratan P. Watal)
Secretary, PNGRB

ILLUSTRATIVE LIST OF OBLIGATIONS OF TRANSPORTER (*)

1. Defining Gas parameters at entry points in terms of gas hydraulics specifying the acceptable range of pressure and temperature and publishing the same in its website # [G.S.R. 541 (E) dated 17.07.2008]
2. Formulation of Calorific Value band for natural gas to be transported through pipeline and publishing the same in its website# [G.S.R. 541 (E) dated 17.07.2008]
3. Provision of entry/exit point equipments to measure gas quality, gas composition, CV, pressure and temperature# [G.S.R. 541 (E) dated 17.07.2008]
4. Verification, Calibration or Proving of measurement equipments# [G.S.R. 541 (E) dated 17.07.2008]
5. Hooking up of facility with shipper at entry point/exit point *at the cost of shipper*# [G.S.R. 541 (E) dated 17.07.2008]
6. Gas accounting on daily basis and gas reconciliation, billing etc. as per mutually agreed terms# [G.S.R. 541 (E) dated 17.07.2008]
7. Accounting of gas in energy terms based on Gross Calorific Value# [G.S.R. 541 (E) dated 17.07.2008]
8. In case of any fault in the meter of transporter, the expenses on account of meter proving and certification carried out by an accredited third party as approved by the Board# [G.S.R. 541 (E) dated 17.07.2008]
9. Maintaining pipeline system in such a way that the allowed positive imbalance of 10% or negative imbalance of 5% does not interfere with operation of the pipeline system# [G.S.R. 541 (E) dated 17.07.2008]
10. Maintaining Escrow account for imbalance and overruns and submitting audited statement of Escrow account on annual basis to the Board# [G.S.R. 541 (E) dated 17.07.2008]
11. Declaring the capacity of pipeline# [G.S.R. 541 (E) dated 17.07.2008]
12. As specified in the regulation, providing extra capacity in the natural gas pipeline which shall be available for use on common carrier basis by third party on open access and non-discriminatory basis \$&# [G.S.R. 340(E) dated 06.05.2008, G.S.R. 273(E) dated 21.04.2009, G.S.R. 541 (E) dated 17.07.2008 and G.S.R. 274(E) dated 21.04.2009]
13. Maintaining operating pressure of natural gas pipeline at all time as per detailed feasibility report\$ [G.S.R. 340(E) dated 06.05.2008]
14. Meeting annual targets of transporting natural gas through pipeline system\$ [G.S.R. 340(E) dated 06.05.2008]
15. Getting technical and safety audits carried out by independent and third party technical expert\$ [G.S.R. 340(E) dated 06.05.2008]
16. Allowing Access and Inter-connectivity to other natural gas pipeline systems\$ [G.S.R. 340(E) dated 06.05.2008]

17. Obtaining all statutory permits, clearances, approvals and ensuring their validity\$ [G.S.R. 340(E) dated 06.05.2008]
18. Ensuring the safe operation of the pipeline\$ [G.S.R. 340(E) dated 06.05.2008]
19. Redressal of consumer grievances\$ [G.S.R. 340(E) dated 06.05.2008]
20. Providing connectivity to consumers within a tariff zone on receipt of request subject to availability of capacity in the pipeline and technical and commercial viability of the proposed connectivity\$ [G.S.R. 340(E) dated 06.05.2008 and G.S.R. 802(E) dated 19.11.2008]
21. Insurance including third party liabilities for all pipeline assets including line-fill volumes\$ [G.S.R. 340(E) dated 06.05.2008]
22. Establishing Centralized Control Room for monitoring and control of natural gas pipeline system including Disaster Management System\$ [G.S.R. 340(E) dated 06.05.2008]
23. Compliance towards the provisions of PNGRB (Technical Standards and Specifications including Safety Standards for Natural Gas Pipelines) Regulations, 2009. [G.S.R. 808(E) dated 11.11.2009]

Notes:

(*)- The above list of obligations is illustrative in nature. However, in line with the clause no. 17.3 of Application-cum-Bid document, the authorized entity shall be liable to comply with the relevant provisions of the PNGRB Act, 2006 and notified regulations, copy of the same are available in the website www.pngrb.gov.in.

#- Reference: Petroleum and Natural Gas Regulatory Board (Access Code for Common Carrier or Contract Carrier Natural Gas Pipelines) Regulations, 2008.

\$- Reference: Petroleum and Natural Gas Regulatory Board (Authorizing Entities to Lay, Build, Operate or Expand Natural Gas Pipelines) Regulations, 2008.

&- Reference: Petroleum and Natural Gas Regulatory Board (Guiding Principles for Declaring or Authorizing Natural Gas Pipeline as Common Carrier or Contract Carrier) Regulations, 2009.



Petroleum and Natural Gas Regulatory Board
1st Floor, World Trade Centre, Babar Road, New Delhi -110001
Tel No: 23457700, Fax No: 23709151

Date: 10th May, 2010

ADDENDUM

During 2nd Pre-Bid meeting held in the office of PNGRB on 27.04.2010, new queries have been received from the prospective bidders seeking clarifications. Also representations have been received from various bidders for extending the date for submission of bids. Keeping in view the requirements of prospective bidders for incorporating clarifications in the bid, Petroleum and Natural Gas Regulatory Board has decided to extend the bid purchase period and bid submission dates for development of following Natural Gas Pipeline networks, as per the following schedule:

S/N	Item	Bid References	Revised Dates
1	GRANT OF AUTHORIZATION FOR LAYING, BUILDING, OPERATING OR EXPANDING NATURAL GAS PIPELINE ALONG THE ROUTE STARTING FROM MEHSANA (GUJARAT) TO BHATINDA (PUNJAB)	Bid No.: BID/ NGPL/ BID/ 01/ 2009/1/MBPL Application cum Bid Document No.: BID/ NGPL/ 01/ 2009/ 1/ MBPL	Last date for purchase of bid document shall be 10th June 2010 (by 15.00 hrs) &
2	GRANT OF AUTHORIZATION FOR LAYING, BUILDING, OPERATING OR EXPANDING NATURAL GAS PIPELINE ALONG THE ROUTE STARTING FROM BHATINDA (PUNJAB) TO JAMMU(J&K) AND SRINAGAR(J&K)	Bid No.: BID/ NGPL/ BID/ 01/ 2009/2/BSPL Application cum Bid Document No.: BID/ NGPL/ 01/ 2009/ 2/ BSPL	Last date of bid submission shall be 17th June 2010 (by 11.00 hrs)
3	GRANT OF AUTHORIZATION FOR LAYING, BUILDING, OPERATING OR EXPANDING NATURAL GAS PIPELINE ALONG ROUTE STARTING FROM MALLAVARAM (KAKINADA, ANDHRA PRADESH) TO BHILWARA (RAJASTHAN) & VIJAIPUR (GUNA, MADHYA PRADESH) VIA BHOPAL (MADHYA PRADESH)	Bid No.: BID/ NGPL/ BID/ 02/ 2009/1/MBPL Application cum Bid Document No.: BID/ NGPL/ 02/ 2009/ 1/ MBBVPL	Last date for purchase of bid document shall be 08th July 2010 (by 15.00 hrs) & Last date of bid submission shall be 15th July 2010 (by 11.00 hrs)

All other terms and conditions of bid shall remain same.

Secretary, PNGRB



PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS REGULATORY BOARD

1st Floor, World trade Centre, Babar Lane, Barakhamba Road, , New Delhi-110001

Tel No: 011- 23457700/23457744/23457751

Date: 01.04.2010

Sub: Clarifications related to bids invited for development of Natural Gas Pipelines.

Various clarifications pertaining to one or more bids invited for development of following natural gas pipelines have been issued by PNGRB on time to time basis:

- (i) Mehsana to Bhatinda through Bid No. BID/NGPL/BID/01/2009/1/MBPL
- (ii) Bhatinda to Jammu & Srinagar through Bid No. BID/NGPL/BID/01/2009/2/BJSP
- (iii) Mallavaram to Bhilwara & Vijaipur through Bid No. BID/NGPL/BID/02/2009/ 01/ MBPL

Following clarifications shall be applicable for all the above mentioned bids:

S. No.	Query	Clarification
1	Whether authorized capacity shall be: (i) Design capacity or (ii) Fixed 30 MMSCMD at all times or (iii) Dependent upon the annual volume quoted by the entity for each year i.e. if the volume quoted in 20 th year is 35 MMSCMD then authorized capacity shall be 35 MMSCMD?	<i>“Authorized capacity of the natural gas pipeline system shall be the design capacity of the pipeline system at Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure (MAOP) for the proposed pipeline system and shall conform to the relevant regulations”.</i>
2	With reference to regulation 12, (i) Would increase in the authorized capacity shall be assessed on cumulative basis at any given point of time with reference to a fixed authorized capacity OR (ii) It shall be assessed each year with reference to what was the authorized capacity last year and therefore increase in authorized capacity may be done more than once and more than 10% on cumulative basis?	<i>“At any given point of time, increase in the authorized capacity may be done up to 10% with reference to the authorized capacity at the time of grant of authorization by the Board. The expansion limiting 10% of authorized capacity can be done in stages. In case of expansion beyond ten percent of the authorized capacity in totality, provisions of sub-regulation 12 shall be applicable”.</i>

3	<p>With reference to regulation 14, clarify whether if an entity constructs a pipeline of 30 MMSCMD capacity and bids for 30 MMSCMD of volume assuming 25% volume on common carrier basis on which entity has no control, then should annual volume targets be 30 MMSCMD or 75% of 30 MMSCMD i.e. 22.5 MMSCMD?</p>	<p><i>“Annual target of transporting natural gas shall be equal to the volume of natural gas quoted in the bid and may or may not have linkage with the design capacity of the pipeline authorized by the Board. However, maximum volume quoted in the bid shall be 75% of the design capacity of the pipeline”.</i></p>
4	<p>Whether net worth of an entity is seen as adequate or inadequate against net worth required for each of the bid separately or against aggregate of net worth required for all the bids that entity may submit?</p>	<p><i>“Minimum combined net worth of an entity along with its promoters available for investments in individual bid shall be considered by the Board in line with sub-regulation 5(6)(e)”.</i></p>
5	<p>Clarification regarding tariff to be charged for consumers falling beyond 50 Kms tariff zone width</p> <p>Also, whether authorized entity is entitled to charge additional tariff from customers located beyond tariff zone (and connected through spur-pipeline) to recover the capital and operating cost for the spur pipeline length beyond tariff zone.</p>	<p><i>“ In case a consumer is located beyond 50 Kms tariff zone width, the actual distance between delivery point of the spur-line & originating point of the trunk pipeline shall be calculated and applicable transportation tariff to be charged shall be:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>(i) Zone-1 tariff in case such distance is less than 300 Kms.</i> <i>(ii) Zone-2 tariff in case such distance is between 300 Kms-600 Kms.</i> <i>(iii) Zone-3 tariff in case such distance is between 600 Kms-900 Kms. and so on”.</i>
6	<p>Is it acceptable if the bidder undertakes that it shall build the desired system capacity between originating point and termination point as and when required to achieve the annual volume targets quoted in the bid i.e. in a phased manner?</p>	<p><i>“The bidder may build the desired system capacity between originating point and termination point in a phased manner but the undertaking for the same shall be submitted at the time of bid submission along with complete year-wise and phase-wise capacity built-up plans”.</i></p>
7	<p>Whether the spur-lines shall be provided by the authorized entity as per the customer’s requirement en-route the pipeline, clarify whether the bidder is required to consider capital cost for spur-lines while bidding and</p>	<p><i>“Yes, the bidder is required to consider capital cost for spur-lines while bidding and incorporate the same in the FR to be submitted along with the bid. It is further clarified that there will not be any additional tariff for such investment in</i></p>

	incorporate the same in the FR to be submitted along with the bid.	<i>future. However, the subsequent addition of spur-lines shall have to be undertaken to serve the consumers' en-route the pipeline".</i>
8	Whether authorized entity will be obliged to provide transportation services to customers beyond tariff zone & Whether authorized entity is entitled to provide transportation services to customers beyond tariff zone.	<i>"Authorized entity shall be obliged to provide transportation services to the consumers falling in a tariff zone of the trunk pipeline. However, beyond Tariff Zone, the authorized entity is entitled to provide transportation services with prior approval of the Board".</i>

The above clarifications shall constitute a part of respective Application-Cum-Bid Document and shall be duly signed and submitted along with bid document by the authorized signatory of the bidder.

(Ratan P. Watal)
Secretary, PNGRB



PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS REGULATORY BOARD

1st Floor, World Trade Centre, Babar Lane, Barakhamba Road, New Delhi-110001

Tel No: 011- 23457700/23457744/23457751

Ref.: Infra/PL/Bid/Round-2/2010/01

Date: 04.03.2010

To
All Prospective Bidders

Sub: Pre-Bid Meeting & Clarifications related to Bid No.: BID/NGPL/BID/02/2009/01/MBPL

Dear Sir,

In continuation to the pre-bid meeting held in the office of PNGRB New Delhi on 30.11.2009 regarding bids for development of Mallavaram-Bhilwara-Bhopal-Vijaipur natural gas pipeline network and other relevant issues, following are the point-wise clarifications:

S/N	Query/Issue	Clarification
1	Whether the economic life of the pipeline shall be from the date of starting the pipeline laying or from the pipeline commissioning date?	<i>"The economic life of the natural gas pipeline shall be a period of twenty five years commencing from the date of grant of authorization to the entity by the Board".</i>
2	With respect to service obligations and fulfilment of annual targets by the entity, what happens if, the gas source creates any problem or any other problem which is beyond the control of the entity? If capacity creation is for 50% then what penalty should be linked to the same?	<i>"Penalty would be linked for total annual target of gas volume. However, the authorised entity have to show tie ups for at least 50% of the quantity".</i>
3	PNGRB was requested to allow the change of the location of originating point and the end point and deviation in the route up to +/- 5%.	<i>"No change of originating and terminal points will be permitted except for marginal change due to availability of land etc."</i>
4	Query was raised regarding no provision of spur line in MBBVPL. Whether the entity laying spur line beyond the catchment area (demand points) has to take fresh approvals from PNGRB for laying spur lines.	<i>"Laying of any trunk pipeline beyond the permissible limit as per the requirement of regulation would lead to fresh approvals of PNGRB".</i>

5	Sub-regulation 7(1) (b) & 7(1) (c) requiring the bidders to quote a single number for percentage increase bid over previous tariff zone.	<i>“Percentage increase of tariff over the 1st zone and also the multiplier for the increase in 3rd and the subsequent zone cannot be zero, they have to be positive integer expressed in percentage”.</i>
---	--	--

The above clarifications shall constitute a part of Application-Cum-Bid Document and shall be duly signed and submitted along with bid document by the authorized signatory of the entity.

Ratan P. Watal
(Ratan P. Watal)
Secretary, PNGRB



Petroleum and Natural Gas Regulatory Board
1st Floor, World Trade Centre, Babar Road, New Delhi -110001
Tel No: 23457700, Fax No: 23709151

Date: 08th February, 2010

ADDENDUM

Representations have been received from various bidders for extending the date for submission of bids. Accordingly, Petroleum and Natural Gas Regulatory Board has decided to extend the bid purchase period and bid submission dates for development of following Natural Gas Pipeline networks, keeping in view the requirements of prospective bidders for market survey, route survey and preparation of DFR, as per the following schedule:

S/N	Item	Bid References	Revised Dates
1	GRANT OF AUTHORIZATION FOR LAYING, BUILDING, OPERATING OR EXPANDING NATURAL GAS PIPELINE ALONG THE ROUTE STARTING FROM MEHSANA (GUJARAT) TO BHATINDA (PUNJAB)	Bid No.: BID/ NGPL/ BID/ 01/ 2009/1/MBPL Application cum Bid Document No.: BID/ NGPL/ 01/ 2009/ 1/ MBPL	Last date for purchase of bid document shall be 10th May 2010 (by 15.00 hrs) & Last date of bid submission shall be 17th May 2010 (by 11.00 hrs)
2	GRANT OF AUTHORIZATION FOR LAYING, BUILDING, OPERATING OR EXPANDING NATURAL GAS PIPELINE ALONG THE ROUTE STARTING FROM BHATINDA (PUNJAB) TO JAMMU(J&K) AND SRINAGAR(J&K)	Bid No.: BID/ NGPL/ BID/ 01/ 2009/2/BSPL Application cum Bid Document No.: BID/ NGPL/ 01/ 2009/ 2/ BSPL	
3	GRANT OF AUTHORIZATION FOR LAYING, BUILDING, OPERATING OR EXPANDING NATURAL GAS PIPELINE ALONG ROUTE STARTING FROM MALLAVARAM (KAKINADA, ANDHRA PRADESH) TO BHILWARA (RAJASTHAN) & VIJAIPUR (GUNA, MADHYA PRADESH) VIA BHOPAL (MADHYA PRADESH)	Bid No.: BID/ NGPL/ BID/ 02/ 2009/1/MBPL Application cum Bid Document No.: BID/ NGPL/ 02/ 2009/ 1/ MBBVPL	Last date for purchase of bid document shall be 24th May 2010 (by 15.00 hrs) & Last date of bid submission shall be 31st May 2010 (by 11.00 hrs)

All other terms and conditions of bid shall remain same.

Secretary, PNGRB